

**California Gambling Control Commission
2399 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95833**

September 30, 2004

**NOTICE OF AVAILABILITY OF MATERIAL RELIED UPON
(GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 11347.1)**

Pursuant to the requirements of Government Code section 11347.1, the following four documents are hereby made available for public inspection at the Commission offices at the above address during normal business hours: between 8 a.m. and 5 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding holidays. The contact person is Pam Ramsay, Legal Secretary, at (916) 263-0459. Alternative contact person is Herb Bolz, Senior Legal Counsel and Regulations Coordinator, at (916) 263-0490.

1. “Third Party Providers of Proposition Player Services and Gambling Businesses: Proposed Categories, Fees, and Costs.”

This is a five-page document, which was filed as Attachment “C” to the emergency readoption/amendment filing submitted to the Office of Administrative Law on June 24, 2004. An earlier version of this document appeared as Appendix “C” to the Revised Initial Statement of Reasons (see page six), dated June 17, 2004, in support of Title 4 California Code of Regulations section 12200.20 (Annual Fee). This earlier version of the cost statement, which was made available to the public on June 17, 2004, did not include gambling businesses in its title. The annual fee requirement was established by the emergency readoption/amendment of July 6, 2004. This July 6, 2004 regulatory action provided that the annual fee applied both to providers of proposition player companies and to gambling businesses.

2. “Nonrefundable Processing Fee for Expedited Review”

This is a one-page document which contains cost data supporting the \$150 expedited processing fee proposed in Section 12200.10A (“Expedited Review and Approval of Proposition Player Contracts”), page 33 of the second 15-day change to the permanent proposition

player regulations. A \$550 expedited processing fee was established in the July 2004 emergency adoption/amendment. The second 15-change proposes to modify this in the permanent regulation, so that the fee is decreased to \$150. This \$150 fee would be accompanied by a deposit in an amount to be set by the Division of Gambling Control, which deposit would be refunded if not needed to cover processing costs.

3. **“Third Party Proposition Player Services: Cost for Expedited Processing Fee for Late Applications”**

This is a one-page document which contains cost data supporting the expedited processing fee proposed in Section 12203A (“Processing of Applications for Renewal of Registration”), page 58 of the second 15-day change.

4. **“Third Party Proposition Player Services: Costing for Transfer, Reinstatement, or Additional Badges Transactions”**

This is a one-page document which contains cost data supporting the \$125 fee proposed in Section 12200.6 (“Transfer or Reinstatement of Player Registration or License: Issuance of Additional Badge”), page 21 of the second 15-day change to the permanent proposition player regulations.

If you have any comments concerning the documents listed above, please submit them in writing between Thursday, September 30 and Thursday, October 14, 2004. All comments must be received at the Commission no later than 5 p.m., Thursday, October 14, 2004. If mailed, comments should be directed to the attention of Herb Bolz at either address listed below.

California Gambling Control Commission
2399 Gateway Oaks Drive, Suite 100
Sacramento, CA 95833

or

P.O. Box 526013,
Sacramento, CA 95862-6031

Comments may also be sent by fax to Herb Bolz at (916) 263-0452 or emailed to hbolz@cgcc.ca.gov. If you comment by email or fax, we suggest that you phone Herb Bolz at (916) 263-0490 to confirm that the comment has been received.

All written comments received by 5 p.m., Thursday, October 14, 2004 which pertain to the documents listed above will be summarized and responded to by the Commission in the Final Statement of Reasons. Please specify which document you wish to comment upon. If your comments also concern a regulation appearing in the second 15-change, please specify the page and line number of the September 30, 2004 text toward which your comment is directed.